UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/725,144	12/01/2003	Christopher J. Stone	BCS03191	1770
43471 Motorola, Inc.	7590 03/24/200	EXAM	IINER	
Law Departmen		TAYLOR, JOSHUA D		
1303 East Algo 3rd Floor	пqиш коаа	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Schaumburg, II	. 60196	2426		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/24/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/725,144	STONE ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
VIVEK SRIVASTAVA	2426	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Revi	iew filed 3/5/09.					
 Improper Request – The R reason(s): 	equest is improper an	d a conference will not be held for the following					
☐ The Notice of Appeal has ☐ The request does not incl ☐ A proposed amendment is ☐ Other:	ude reasons why a rev						
The time period for filing a respon the mail date of the last Office cor		om the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from ice of Appeal has been received.					
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable.							
☐ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from contacts		im(s) is as follows:					
		held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of nains closed. No further action is required by					
4. ⊠ Reopen Prosecution – A capaction will be mailed. No further a		eld. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office oplicant at this time.					
All participants:							
(1) <u>VIVEK SRIVASTAVA</u> .		(3) <u>Chris Grant</u> .					
(2) <u>Josua Taylor</u> .		(4)					
/VIVEK SRIVASTAVA/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2426	/Christopher Grant/ Supervisory Patent E Unit 2421	xaminer, Art					